

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UMTED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1650 Alfrandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/086,632	03/04/2002	Amir Sagiv	P-3849-US	7033	
49444 75	90 09/05/2006		EXAMINER		
PEARL COHEN ZEDEK LATZER, LLP			WOOD, WILLIAM H		
NEW YORK,	VAY, 12TH FLOOR NY 10036		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
ŕ			2193		
	·		DATE MAILED: 09/05/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/086,632	SAGIV ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William H. Wood	2193	į.			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 31 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	on the same day as filing a Notice of owing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, who date of the final rejecti	ichever is later. In			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e	r (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 706.07(f). e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. extension and the corresponding amount	E FIRST REPLY WAS F 136(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropri	ILED WITHIN te extension fee iate extension fee			
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	er than three months after the mailing da b).	te of the final rejection, e	even if timely filed,			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further of (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloc) They are not deemed to place the application in better 	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the						
non-allowable claim(s). ✓. Solution For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) solution will not be entered, or b) solution will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9 and 14-18</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by		·				
See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).						
13. ☑ Other: Attached Interview Summary 051706.						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Applicant contends there is no mention in the Osborne reference for performing counting of a number of noise events. On the contrary this is exactly what Osborne is performing (column 1, lines 35-61). Osborne counts noise events including those bigger than the floor value and updates the floor value. Osborne (column 2, lines 7-10 and column 5, lines 4-7) demonstrate a period for the counting. Functionality found in last few sentences of Applicant's page 4 response, are not found in the claims. Under the broadest reasonable interpretation of the claim language, the rejections are maintained.

Nes . C.

KAKALI CHAKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100